PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 7 : H03M 13/05	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/64057 (43) International Publication Date: 26 October 2000 (26.10.00)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/FI00/00322

(22) International Filing Date: 14 April 2000 (14.04.00)

(30) Priority Data:
990863 16 April 1999 (16.04.99) FI

(71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): NOKIA NETWORKS OY [FI/FI]; Keilalahdentie 4, FIN-02150 Espoo (FI).

(72) Inventors; and
(75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): NIEMINEN, Esko [FI/FI]; Maahisentie 3 G 10, FIN-90550 Oulu (FI). PIRTTIAHO, Lauri [FI/FI]; Allitie 2 B 29, FIN-90150 Oulu (FI).

(74) Agent: KOLSTER OY AB; Iso Roobertinkatu 23, P.O. Box 148, FIN-00121 Helsinki (FI).

(81) Designated States: AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AT (Utility model), AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, CZ (Utility model), DE, DE (Utility model), DK, DK (Utility model), DM, DZ, EE, EE (Utility model), ES, FI, FI (Utility model), GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KR (Utility model), KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SK (Utility model), SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published
With international search report.
Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.

(54) Title: SEGMENTATION MECHANISM FOR A BLOCK ENCODER

(57) Abstract

A method for encoding an input data block (IDB) with a block encoder (TE). The block encoder is capable of processing consecutive coding blocks (CB) whose size has an upper limit (L_{CB}) which is smaller than the size of the input data block (IDB). The method comprises: (1) determining the length of the input data block (IDB) before encoding any of its data with the block encoder (TE); (2) dividing the input data block (IDB) to a plurality of segments (S_1 ... S_N) wherein all segments are of substantially equal size and no segment is larger than the upper limit (L_{CB}); and (3) processing each segment (S_1 ... S_N) with the block encoder (TE). If the last segment (S_N) is shorter than the remaining segments (S_1, S_2...), fill bits (N_{FILL}) can be added to the last segment (S_N) such that its length equals that of the remaining segments (S_1, S_2...).





FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

Segmentation mechanism for a block encoder

Background of the invention

The invention relates to methods and equipment for block encoders. As is well known, block encoders are frequently used for error correction. An example of a block encoder is a so-called turbo (en)coder, as disclosed in reference 1.

Figure 1 is a block chart of a turbo encoder TE which is connected to a corresponding turbo decoder TD via a (transmission) channel. A typical turbo encoder conveys the original information directly to the channel. These bits are called systematic bits. Additionally, the turbo encoder adds redundancy (parity) with simple encoders 1 and 2, the latter of which is preceded by an interleaver P, which permutes the bits of the original information. However, details of the block encoder are not relevant for understanding the invention, and reference is made to relevant literature.

Unlike streaming encoders, block encoders process one or more data blocks at a time. An input data block whose size exceeds the block size of the block encoder must be divided into smaller segments such that no segment is larger than the block size of the block encoder. This is why block encoders are particularly suitable for applications with a fixed input block size. A problem with block encoders is that they do not easily lend themselves to applications having a variable (dynamic) input block size. In other words, what to do with the last few segments of the input data block, remains an open question.

Disclosure of the invention

An object of the invention is to provide a mechanism for using block encoders with applications having a variable (dynamic) input block size. The mechanism should be generic in order to be applicable to a wide variety of block encoders.

This object is achieved with a method and equipment which are characterized by what is disclosed in the attached independent claims. Preferred embodiments of the invention are disclosed in the attached dependent claims.

A straightforward solution would be to fill the segment of the input data block to the block size of the block encoder. Assuming a block size of 8 kilobits (kb), a 14-kb input data block would be divided into a first segment of 8

kb and second (last) segment with a net size of 6 kb and 2 kb of fill (padding) bits. A benefit of this straightforward solution is that the block encoder does not have to adapt to varying input block sizes.

The invention is based on the idea that for an input data block whose size exceeds the block size of the block encoder:

1) before coding is started, the size of the input data block is determined; and

2) the input data block is divided into segments of approximately equal size such that no segment is larger than the block size of the block encoder.

According to a preferred embodiment of the invention, the input data block is divided into the least possible number of segments. In other words, the segments are as large as possible.

According to an alternative embodiment, the input data block is divided into 2^n segments where n is a positive integer.

According to yet another preferred embodiment of the invention, if dividing the input data block produces a last segment which is shorter than the remaining segments, the input data block or the last segment is padded with a few fill bits until the length of the last segment equals that of the remaining segments. However, in contrast to the straightforward solution, the last segment is not padded to the full block size of the block encoder (unless the remaining segments happen to be of that size too).

Brief description of the drawings

The invention will be described in more detail by means of preferred embodiments with reference to the appended drawing wherein:

Figure 1 is a block chart of a turbo encoder; and

Figure 2 illustrates dividing an input data block to a number of segments for the turbo encoder.

Detailed description of the invention

Various embodiments of the invention will be described in connection with a turbo encoder, an example of which is disclosed in reference 1. However, details of the turbo encoder, or any other encoder, are not relevant for understanding the invention.

Implementing a turbo encoder (and the corresponding decoder) can be facilitated by limiting the length of the coding block. A reasonable value for

the length of the coding block is 8192 bits including the user data, a possible error detection field (CRC) and the termination. The following naming conventions will be used:

N_{TAIL} = the number of termination bits

T_{DELAY} (seconds) = the length of the user data block

R_{DATA} (bits per second) = the user data rate of the service

N_{EXTRA} = the number of other bits added to the original user data (CRC etc.)

L_{CB} = max length of the coding block.

The following condition has to be satisfied:

$$R_{DATA} * T_{DELAY} + N_{EXTRA} + N_{TAIL} \leq L_{CB} \qquad [1]$$

If this condition is not satisfied the data to be encoded must be segmented so that each separate segment satisfies the condition. The number of segments N_S has to satisfy the condition:

$$\mathrm{round\_up}((R_{DATA} * T_{DELAY} + N_{EXTRA}) / N_S) + N_{TAIL} \leq L_{CB} \qquad [2]$$

It is preferable to choose the smallest N_S satisfying the inequality [2]. N_S can be calculated from:

$$N_S = \mathrm{round\_up}((R_{DATA} * T_{DELAY} + T_{EXTRA}) / (L_{CB} - N_{TAIL})) \qquad [3]$$

It may happen that all the encoding blocks do not end up being of the same length, i.e. that $(R_{DATA} * T_{DELAY} + N_{EXTRA}) / N_S$ is not an integer. In such a case, there are at least two possible solutions, which will be called algorithms A and B. In algorithm A, the last segment is allowed to have a different length than the other segments. In algorithm B, a number N_{FILL} of fill bits (e.g. zeroes) are added to the input data so that $(R_{DATA} * T_{DELAY} + N_{EXTRA} + N_{FILL}) / N_S$ is the smallest possible integer. (Alternatively, the fill bits can be appended to the last segment after segmentation.)

Algorithm A

Algorithm A allows the last segment to be shorter than the other segments. Algorithm A uses the following Inputs:

R_{DATA} = the user data rate (bits per second)

T_{DELAY} = encoding user data block length (seconds)

N_{EXTRA} = extra data to be appended to the user data before encoding (bits)

N_{TAIL} = number of tail bits to be appended to the encoding blocks

Algorithm A produces the following outputs:

N_S = number of segments

N_{TB} = number of bits in the turbo encoder input blocks except the last one

N_{LAST_TB} = number of bits in the last turbo encoder input block

In algorithm A the following computations will be performed:

Let N_S = round_up$((R_{DATA} * T_{DELAY} + N_{EXTRA}) / (L_{CB} - N_{TAIL}))$

Let N_{TB} = round_up$((R_{DATA} * T_{DELAY} + N_{EXTRA}) / N_S) + N_{TAIL}$;

Let N_{REM} = remainder of $(R_{DATA} * T_{DELAY} + N_{EXTRA}) / N_S$;

If N_{REM} is not equal to zero then $N_{LAST\_TB} = N_{TB} - N_S + N_{REM}$ else $N_{LAST\_TB} = N_{TB}$.

End.

If algorithm A is used, an adaptive turbo interleaver is needed since the last input segment to the turbo encoder may be shorter than the others. The number of systematic bits in the output of the encoder is

$$R_{DATA} * T_{DELAY} + N_{EXTRA} + N_S * N_{TAIL} \qquad [4]$$

Thus there are no additional bits other than the ones due to the termination of each segment.

Algorithm B

As shown in Figure 2, in algorithm B all input segments to the turbo encoder will be of equal size. The inputs to algorithm B are:

R_{DATA} = the user data rate (bits per second)

T_{DELAY} = encoding user data block length (seconds)

N_{EXTRA} = extra data to be appended to the user data before encoding (bits)

N_{TAIL} = number of tail bits to be appended to the encoding blocks

The outputs from algorithm B are:

N_S = number of segments

N_{TB} = number of bits in the turbo encoder input blocks

N_{FILL} = number of fill bits (e.g. zero) in the last turbo encoder input block

In algorithm B, the following computations will be performed:

Let N_S = round_up$((R_{DATA} * T_{DELAY} + N_{EXTRA}) / (L_{CB} - N_{TAIL}))$

Let N_{TB} = round_up $((R_{DATA} * T_{DELAY} + N_{EXTRA}) / N_S) + N_{TAIL}$

Let N_{REM} = remainder of $(R_{DATA} * T_{DELAY} + N_{EXTRA}) / N_S$

If $N_{REM} \neq 0$ then insert $N_{FILL} = (N_S - N_{REM})$ zero bits to the end of the input data else $N_{FILL} = 0$.

All input segments to the turbo encoder are of equal size and therefore the same turbo interleaver can be used for all segments. In this case the number of systematic bits over an entire channel interleaving block at the output of the turbo encoder is:

$$N_S * (\text{round\_up}((R_{DATA} * T_{DELAY} + N_{EXTRA}) \ / N_S) + N_{TAIL}) \qquad [5]$$

Thus there may be some additional bits other than the termination bits.

Modification to algorithms A and B

In the above algorithms A and B, the length of input segment to the turbo encoder is maximised by choosing the smallest possible number of segments N_S. In some cases it may be preferable to use a number of segments N_S which is a power of 2, but this will shorten the input segments to the turbo encoder. In this case, the first step of the above algorithms A and B would be replaced by the following three steps:

Let $n_S = \text{round\_up}((R_{DATA} * T_{DELAY} + N_{EXTRA}) / (L_{CB} - N_{TAIL}))$;

Let $m = \text{round\_up}(\log_2 n_S)$;

Let $N_S = 2^m$.

References:

1. C. Berrou, A. Glavieux, P. Thitimajshima: *Near Shannon limit error-correcting coding and decoding: Turbo-codes*, IEEE International Conference on Communications, ICC 1993, Geneva, Switzerland 23-26 May, 1993, Vol. 2, pp. 1064-1070.

All references are incorporated herein by reference.

Claims

1. A method for encoding an input data block (IDB) with a block encoder (TE), said block encoder being capable of processing consecutive coding blocks (CB) whose size has an upper limit (L_{CB}) which is smaller than the size of the input data block (IDB);

characterized in that the method comprises the steps of:

determining the length of the input data block (IDB) before encoding any of its data with said block encoder (TE);

dividing the input data block (IDB) to a plurality of segments (S_1 ... S_N) wherein all segments are of substantially equal size and no segment is larger than said upper limit (L_{CB}); and

processing each segment (S_1 ... S_N) with said block encoder (TE).

2. A method according to claim 1, characterized in that if the last segment (S_N) is shorter than the remaining segments (S_1, S_2...), fill bits (N_{FILL}) are added to the last segment (S_N) such that its length equals that of the remaining segments (S_1, S_2...).

3. A method according to claim 1, characterized in that if the length of the input data block (IDB) is not an exact multiple of said upper limit (L_{CB}), fill bits (N_{FILL}) are added to the input data block (IDB) such that its length is an exact multiple of said upper limit (L_{CB}).

4. A method according to any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the number of segments is 2^n where n is a positive integer.

5. A segmentation device for segmenting an input data block (IDB) for processing with a block encoder (TE), said block encoder being capable of processing consecutive coding blocks (CB) whose size has an upper limit (L_{CB}) which is smaller than the size of the input data block (IDB);

characterized in that the segmentation device is arranged to:

determine the length of the input data block (IDB) before applying any of its data to said block encoder (TE);

divide the input data block (IDB) to a plurality of segments (S_1 ... S_N) wherein all segments are of substantially equal size and no segment is larger than said upper limit (L_{CB}); and to

apply each segment (S_1 ... S_N) to said block encoder (TE).

Fig. 1


Original information
TE
TD
Decoded information
LCB
CB
Systematic bits
Channel
Simple decoder 1
Simple coder 1
Parity 1
Iterations
P
Simple coder 2
Parity 2
Simple decoder 2

Fig. 2


IDB
RDATA * TDELAY
NEXTRA
NTAIL
NS
S1
S2
SN
NTB
NTB
NTB
...
NFILL
NTAIL
RDATA * TDELAY; NEXTRA

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/FI 00/00322

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC7: H03M 13/05
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC7: H03M, H04L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5889791 A (J. YANG), 30 March 1999 (30.03.99), column 2, line 48 - column 3, line 52, claim 1 --	1-5
A	WO 9909724 A2 (NOKIA MOBILE PHONES LTD.), 25 February 1999 (25.02.99), claim 1 --	1-5
A	US 5790569 A (T. KOJIMA ET AL.), 4 August 1998 (04.08.98), column 2, line 25 - column 3, line 27 --	1-5
A	US 4929946 A (J.T. O'BRIEN ET AL.), 29 May 1990 (29.05.90), claim 1 -- --------	1-5

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☒ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
"E" erlier document but published on or after the international filing date
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed
"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
11 Sept 2000	13 -09- 2000
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Swedish Patent Office Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86	Authorized officer Peder Gjervaldsaeter/AE Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

08/05/00

International application No.
PCT/FI 00/00322

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5889791 A	30/03/99	NONE	
WO 9909724 A2	25/02/99	AU 8443798 A	08/03/99
		DE 19835427 A	25/02/99
		FI 973373 A	19/02/99
		FR 2767442 A	19/02/99
		GB 2331211 A	12/05/99
		GB 9818013 D	00/00/00
		IT MI981897 D	00/00/00
		NL 1009737 A	00/00/00
		SE 9802745 A	19/02/99
US 5790569 A	04/08/98	CA 2190985 A	17/10/96
		CN 1150859 A	28/05/97
		EP 0766245 A	02/04/97
		WO 9632718 A	17/10/96
US 4929946 A	29/05/90	DE 69023329 D,T	15/05/96
		EP 0457840 A,B	27/11/91
		JP 2915568 B	05/07/99
		JP 4503421 T	18/06/92
		WO 9009705 A	23/08/90